William Bruce 533 state route 208 New Paltz. New York 12561

wbruce@hvc.rr.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy Sat, Apr 5,2003 10:16 AM

Date: Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

William Bruce 533 state route 208 New Palk, New York 12561

john88@localnet.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5, 2003 10:38 AM

Subject:

Media consolidation

The big media radio and tv is bad enough , thank god for the few college stations around or there would be nothing to listen to. This consolidation is a threat to democracy and competition.

From: john88@localnet.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 10:39 AM

Subject: Consolidation

The big media radio and tv is bad enough , thank god for the few college stations around or there would be nothing to listen to. This consolidation is a threat to democracy and competition.

john88@localnet.com

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Sat, Apr 5,2003 10:40 AM

Date: Subject:

consolidation

The big media radio and tv is bad enough, thank god for the few college stations around or there would be nothing to listen to. This consolidation is a threat to democracy and competition.

tlwbeck@aol.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 11:14 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Thomas R. Weisbeck 48 Drawbrige Ct. Getzville, New York 14068 From: trwbeck@aol.com
To: Michael Copps

Date: Sat, Apr **5**, 2003 11:14 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Thomas R. Weisbeck 48 Drawbrige Ct. Getzville, New York 14068 From: trwbeck@aol.com
To: Mike Powell

Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 11:14 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael **K.** Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. **We** believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Thomas R. Weisbeck 48 Drawbrige Ct. Getzville, New York 14068

Howard

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 11:36 AM

Subject:

Stop Further Harmful Deregulation

Dear Mr. Powell,

I wish to make you aware of my concerns regarding the possible further deregulation of the public airwaves. The very fact that I hear no news regarding these further changes from the media conglomerates and must turn to PBS to be informed should be a clear indication that these corporations are putting their interest's in profit over the well-being of the citizens.

On the Bill Moyers NOW program you were shown saying how you feel the large media corporations can provide a higher quality of news. They indeed may have this 'capability', but **as** the Columbia study indicated, the smaller companies provide a higher quality. And this can be seen with one's own eyes watching all the identical pre-recorded stories showing up on the different channels.

You said you felt the public was bothered by the size of these corporations, but I respectfully disagree What many people are concerned about is the lack of adequate information available when interest in profits significantly diminishes the quality of news.

I'm strongly against any further deregulation, and hope steps will be taken to fix the 1996 giveaway of the public airwaves.

Sincerely,

Howard Ward Simi Valley, CA

CC:

fordfalcon@earthlink.net

From: tlwoodard@hotmail.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 11:41 AM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemakingan Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Teresa Woodard 9550 CANNONEER CT 302 MANASSAS, Virginia 20110

ttwoodard@hotmail.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 11:41 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell **445** 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. **We** believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Teresa Woodard 9550 CANNONEER CT 302 MANASSAS, Virginia 20110 From: ttw

ttwoodard@hotmail.com

To:

Michael Copps

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 11:41 AM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemakingan Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Teresa Woodard 9550 CANNONEER CT 302 MANASSAS, Virginia 20110 From: c2lgeneroza@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 12:13 PM

Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Gene Roza 346 Sylmar Ave Clovis, California 93612

cZlgeneroza@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Sat, Apr 5,2003 12:13 PM

Subject:

Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemakingan Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

Gene Roza 346 Sylmar Ave Clovis, California 93612